## ESTIMATED COSTS FOR PERMITS TO CONSTRUCT/RECONSTRUCT A FARM PAD OR LIVESTOCK SANCTUARY

Fee or review			Project Size	
		< 0.2 acres	(	0.2 to 1.0 acres
Fixed Fees				
<b>Grading Base Review Fees</b>		724.50		2173.50
Counter Service Base Fees		410.56		410.56
				ž.
Hourly Review Fees (Sto	d hrs) Cost(\$)			
SEPA	12			
Drainage (Opt)	4			
Flood Certification	8	*		
Shorelines	6			
Inspections	6	V.		
Critical Areas (Opt)	6			
Total Hourly 4	\$5880	402.50		402.50
Estimated Permit Co	ost \$7015		\$8464	
Estimated Permit Fe	es	\$1537.56		\$2986.56

Not included in the cost of a permit is the cost of the following:

Zero Rise Flood Plain Analysis

Compensatory Flood Storage Analysis (if needed)

FEMA flood certification

Preparation of permit application materials

Environmental Checklist

**Application Forms** 

Plans and Specifications

Critical Area Studies (If needed)

Presence of Wetlands,

Mitigation Plans

Shoreline Everntion	ממ	na	بي	0	<b></b>	10	2007
Shoreline Exemption	38,722/ea	1670/pad 17,120/ea 38,722/ea	1670/pad	2	12	6	1991-94
	>1.0	0.2 to 1.0	<0.2 AC   0.2 to 1.0   >1.0	>1.0	0.2 to 1.0	< 0.2 Acres 0.2 to 1.0	BUILT
Permits Needed	1(X)	Volume of Fill (CY)	Volu		Number built by Size (AC)	Numbe	YEAR

fill was imported and placed within the lower Snoqualmie River floodplain. Between 1991 and 1994, during construction of the first round of livestock flood sanctuaries, approximately 275,000 cubic yards of

adoption of the CAO. The demonstration ordinance allowed these recent projects to commence without obtaining a grading permit. conducted in accordance with an approved farm plan prepared by the KCD. This permit exemption was removed from code with <sup>2</sup> The emergency ordinance that allowed construction of livestock flood sanctuaries created an exemption for such work when it was

This exemption does not apply to any future projects.

demonstration project, WLR Rivers Management Section prepared the zero rise and compensatory storage analysis. There is still a upon standards, that they were satisfied that what was being done was not inconsistent with their flood plain policies. For the sanctuaries. FEMA was at the table during development of the siting and construction standards for livestock sanctuaries and, in a by the KCD, for the demonstration project by KCDNRP/WLR. Floodplain compliance was a negotiated process for the livestock <sup>3</sup> Both of these programs were subsidized by a variety of government agencies. The plans for the livestock sanctuaries were developed need for each of the applicants under the demonstration project to prepare a FEMA elevation certificate. letter to DDES in 1992, stated that as long as we could demonstrate these facilities were constructed in accordance with these agreed

prohibits these facilities in the FEMA floodway within the floodway as well as the public rule on livestock flood sanctuaries in flood hazard areas best management practices that also these same code provisions as well as modifying the current provisions in the shorelines regulations that prohibits the placement of fill per second; the prohibition on placement of livestock sanctuaries in the floodway would not apply to the repair or reconfiguration of to the source of flooding; allowed for work to occur where the base flood depth exceeded three feet or the velocity exceeded three feet <sup>4</sup> For the demonstration project to proceed, a number of development standards had to be temporarily modified or waived. These these facilities. To expand this program to allow new farm or livestock pads in the floodway would necessitate permanently modifying included allowing compensatory storage at different elevations to that being displaced and did not have to by hydraulically connected